

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF EDUCATION COUNCIL  
HELD MONDAY, APRIL 11, 2011 AT 4:15 PM  
BOARDROOM - NEW WESTMINSTER CAMPUS**

---

**1. ROLL CALL:**

**Members Present:**

Deb Anderson (Vice Chair)  
Catherine Carlson  
Kathy Denton  
Ron de Villa  
Gerry Gramozis  
Leon Guppy  
Maria Iaquina  
Ted James (Ex-Officio)  
Scott McAlpine (Ex-Officio)  
Elizabeth McCausland (Chair)  
Debbie McCloy  
Zaheeda Merchant  
Gary Tennant  
Robin Wylie  
Kate Yoshitomi  
Jo-Anne Bilquist (Secretary)

**Regrets:**

Thor Borgford  
Gretchen Goertz  
Bruce Hardy  
Marina Kolar

**Absent:**

Tyson McKrae

**Guests:**

Bill Archibald  
Pauline Chow  
Marlene Graveson  
Jerin Mece  
Geri Paterson  
Tricia Rachfall  
Wesley Snider  
Cathy Tremblay

The Chair noted that Student Representative Aiko Lim has resigned from Council due to other commitments, and commended Aiko on her excellent service to Council.

**2. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

The Chair asked members to approve a fluid Agenda.  
Council agreed and the Agenda was approved as re-ordered.

**3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

#### **4. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES**

##### **4.1 Policy Items**

###### **a) Proposed Revisions to Academic Performance Policy**

Ted James was invited to speak to this item.

Strong support was noted from several faculties with regard to the proposed revisions around academic probation. It was suggested that at the top of page two where language has been added regarding a remediation plan, that this option come before the option to withdraw for a semester, as that would be the more preferable course of action.

Responding to a query regarding when this change would come into effect, Ted replied that this is still in the works, due to the number of calculations that need to be done. He hopes an announcement will come out within the next day or two, prior to the end of the registration period.

There being no further discussion,

**MOVED by G. Gramozis; SECONDED by Z. Merchant,**



**BE IT AND IT WAS RESOLVED:**

**THAT Education Council approve the submitted guidelines for: CFCS 1112, CFCS 1142, CFCS 1242, CFCS 2212, CFCS 2332, CFCS 2391, CYCC 1141, THRT 1101, THRT 1102, THRT 2301, THRT 2309, THRT 2408, THRT 3601, THRT 3701, THRT 4704, THRT 4802, THRT 4901, CFCS 4905, HIST 1101**

**The Motion was CARRIED.**

**4.5 Admissions & Language Competency Committee Recommendations**

**There was unanimous consent to Short-cycle the Motion to approve the recommendations as presented.**

**MOVED by G. Tennant; SECONDED by L. Guppy,**

**BE IT AND IT WAS RESOLVED:**

**THAT Education Council approve the recommendations presented by Admissions and Language Competency Committee.**

**The Motion was CARRIED.**

**5. NEW BUSINESS**

**5.1 Bachelor of Therapeutic Recreation: Revision**

Geri Paterson and Tricia Rachfall were invited to speak to this item.

Geri advised that the revision came about due to changes in the education requirements outlined by the National Council for Therapeutic Recreation Certification. Asked whether the changes would create a situation in which students who had taken some time out of the program might now be ineligible for graduation, Geri noted that there is one 3 credit course that is required for

**MOVED by G. Tennant; SECONDED by L. Guppy,**

**BE IT AND IT WAS RESOLVED:**

**THAT Education Council approve the proposed revisions to Bachelor of Therapeutic Recreation and Therapeutic Recreation Diploma programs.**

**The Motion was CARRIED.**

**5.2 Program Approval Policies (Degree, Non-Degree Credit and Non-Credit):  
Request to refer to Policy Committee**

The Chair spoke to this item.

E. McCausland advised that she has met with several FECs and with VPAC to discuss the process; the goal is to streamline the processes without losing collegial consultation.

Responding to a query, Liz noted that there will still be 3 separate policies. The degree approval process is more formal and requires more consultation. The non

Denton noted that a feasibility study is required for any programs that need to go to DQAB.

A concern was raised regarding bullet 3 of the memo, and both Liz and Kathy pointed out that the approval of EdCo and VPAC remain independent of one another, but can happen at the same time, rather than in linear order.

Also discussed was the fact that currently there is no process in place for revising a degree program. The current wording implies that revision to a degree program would have to start at the beginning of the process.

would like to have guidance in place. Sr( in pl)-3(a)45 yncere wil0 1 391.63CaBscnnpe10t( in lr)

**THAT Education Council approve the request to refer the Program Development and Approval Policies to the Policy Committee.**

**The Motion was CARRIED.**

