Education Council – February 19, 2018 Page 2

4. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES
4.1. Program Revision: Diploma in Computing Studies and Information Systems
N. Eng spoke to the item. No follow-up questions or comments were raised.
There being no further discussion,
Moved by G. Stroppa; SECONDED by E. Kirkpatrick, THAT Education Council
approve the Program Revision: Diploma in Computing Studies and Information
Systems.
The Motion was CARRIED.
4.2. Program Revision: Foundation for Music Therapy Studies
J. van Deursen spoke to the item.
There being no further discussion,
Moved by M. Costantino; SECONDED by M. Westerman, THAT Education Council
approve the Program Revision: Foundation for Music Therapy Studies.
The Motion was CARRIED.
4.3. Program Revision: Bachelor of Arts in Applied Psychology
L. Dane spoke to the item.
There being no further discussion,
Moved by M. Westerman; SECONDED by M. Wilson, THAT Education Council
approve the Program Revision: Bachelor of Arts in Applied Psychology.
The Motion was CARRIED.
4.4. Program Revision: Associate Degree in Environmental Science
D. Waddington spoke to the item, brought forward from the October 2017 meeting.
The proposed changes include a new name, the reduction of credits to 60,
replacement of obsolete courses and modifications to course requirements. There is
a focus on transfer to the Simon Fraser University B.Sc. program, and development
of program learning outcomes.
There being no further discussion,
Moved by P. Hundal; SECONDED by M. Hutchison, THAT Education Council
approve the Program Revision: Associate Degree in Environmental Science.

Education Council – February 19, 2018 Page 3

The Motion was CARRIED.
And,
There was unanimous consent to Short-cycle the Motion.
MOVED by E. Kirkpatrick; SECONDED by M. Costantino, THAT Education Council
recommend that the College Board approve the

Education Council – February 19, 2018 Page 4

circumstances that may arise allowing departments to handle these on a case-by-case basis.
ACTION: Please refer this item to your constituency groups for consideration of approval at
the March 2018 meeting.
5.2 Program Revision: Geological Resources Diploma
D. Waddington spoke to the item.
The proposed revision increases flexibility by relaxing an admission requirement and
program continuation requirements.
Discussion ensued regarding the high number of credits for many of the courses in
the Faculty of Science and Technology and a suggestion was made to charge higher
lab fees and lowering the number of credits per course to three. K. Denton offered
that she would go to government to make the case for Ă͚ůĂďĨĞĞ͛to enable the
Faculty of Science and Technology to reduce the credit value of their courses to align
more closely with universities.
In response to a question about admissions processes, Council members from the
ZĞŐŝƐƚƌĂƌ͛ƐOffice were asked to provide clarification

Education Council – February 19, 2018 Page 5

K. Denton acknowledged that the process has been more challenging this year than

Education Council – February 19, 2018 Page 6

7. REPORTS

7.1 Report from the Chair
J. Nicholsfigueiredo spoke to her January 2018 written reports submitted to the
College Board.

7.2 Report from the President
K. Denton extended thanks to all those who assisted or attended the Winter
Graduation Ceremonies, which were again, a great success.

7.3 Report from the Vice President, Academic & Provost
T. Borgford spoke about Faculty Education Committees (FECs). He stated that the
FECs are of very high quality and that faculty work well with the Deans and the Vice-President. He noted that there had been requests for copies of Terms of Reference
(TOR) for FECs from the EDCO Chair and Chair of the EDCO Policy Committee, as TORs
were not posted on DC Connect. He asked VPAC to update and harmonize a common
FEC TOR and the revised document was subsequently circulated to FECs. He noted
that the Chair of EDCO was invited to meet however was unavailable during the
month of December.

The Ed Co Chair suggested that standard procedure for document revisions of any
sort, whether policy, curriculum, or TOR, is to provide the original document with the
newly revised document for groups to analyze. The VP Academic stated that FECs
pre-date Ed Co and provided a memo from 2011 indicating that EDCO declined to
review FEC TORs when they were put to EDCO for review. The President responded
that it was unclear, at that time, if the TOR should rest with EdCo as FECs predated
Education Council but she stressed that TOR should be reviewed annually.

Referencing the Education Council minutes of December 2010, the Ed Co Chair noted
that the original FEC TOR were drafted by the Ed Co Chair (Dr. McCausland) in
consultation with the FEC Chairs.

Discussion ensued and EdCo R
0 G0 1 262CoOR