

3. APPROVAL OF THE FEBRUARY 16, 2009 MINUTES

Under Item 1 – Roll Call, Members Present, should read **Trish** Angus rather than Trist Angus.

THAT Education Council approve the motion to request that CE Provide information about their grading system and percentage equivalents and if such information exists, Council would consider inserting it into the Grading Systems Policy.

The Motion was CARRIED.

The Chair asked council if there were any other questions or comments and whether council was prepared to go forward without knowing the answer to the question raised by L. Guppy. Some discussion followed about whether there was any urgency in approving the policy and T. Angus indicated that if we wished to wait it might take a significant amount of time and the delay may not be a good thing because it would effect all faculty not just one group. She indicated that if we provided grades we should have a grading policy in place as soon as possible. It was further discussed.

removal of the bracketed Note portion, and the addition of a new paragraph 4.

Removal of the first sentence within the paragraph entitled, Penalties for Late Assignments, with an addition to the final title heading to read "Final Examinations in Credit Courses" and lastly, a couple of minor changes to the second paragraph under the previously indicated paragraph one.

There was further discussion surrounding the Evaluation Policy and the chair questioned whether it should be taken back to the policy committee to keep working on it. T. James indicated that aside from the typos there were only two substantive revisions which included the final exams and the makeup portion and possibly the tidiness of the arrangement in particular to the course outline. In the interest of keeping things moving T. James suggested he could make two essential changes and send the revised document to Council within a few days so that they could take it out to constituencies in time for a vote in April EDCO. A. Robinson asked how students could be informed they had the rights specified in the Evaluation Policy. The Chair replied the policies were all available on line and that students' would need to read them to learn of these rights. A question was asked about whether the policy information should be included

ACTION: Please take this back to your constituencies for information.

4.2 Budget 2009/2010 – Discussion and Advice

S. Witter indicated the 2009/2010 College budget is changing slightly because the government budget letter provides more funding to the College than was expected. She indicated she would be asking the Board to support minor changes and explained she would be providing the college relevant information once she had discussed the revised budget with the College Board. She asked that Education Council proceed with and provide its advice on the proposed budget today since any changes will be minor. The Chair asked for advice from constituencies and there was none. He Chair asked if she could report to the Board that Education Council was comfortable with the proposed budget it had seen and Council agreed.

4.3 Associate of Arts Degree in Forensic Studies Program Proposal

K. Denton indicated the title of the program proposal should be amended to read “Associate of Arts Degree **for** Forensic Studies Program Proposal rather than “**in**” Forensic Studies.

There was no further discussion.

MOVED by R. Wylie; SECONDED by G. Gramozis

BE IT AND IT WAS RESOLVED:

THAT Education Council approve the Associate of Arts Degree For Forensic Studies program proposal as amended.

The Motion was CARRIED

4.4 Policy Review Group (PRG) Terms of Reference

There was no discussion.

Moved by E. McCausland; SECONDED by M. Brulhart

BE IT AND IT WAS RESOLVED:

THAT Education Council ratify the Terms of Reference for the Policy Review Group (PRG) as presented.

4.5 Advanced Diploma I Opticianry Refraction and Contact Lenses Technologies Program – recommendation the College Board Establish Credential

K. Denton indicated the word “Opticianry” in the title of the program proposal should be amended to read “**Opticianry**.”

There was unanimous consent to short-cycle a Motion to Approve a recommendation that the College Board establish the credential Diploma in Advanced Opticianry, Contact Lenses and Refraction Technologies as amended.

MOVED by K Denton; SECONDED by T. Smith

BE IT AND IT WAS RESOLVED:

THAT Education Council approve the recommendation that the College Board establish a credential Diploma in Advanced Opticianry, Contact Lenses and Refraction Technologies as amended.

The Motion was CARRIED

4.6 Curriculum Committee Recommendations

E. McCausland indicated the Marketing 5000 level courses were included in the recommendations. The Post Degree in Marketing students0m[)] 0 0 1 441.1 372.91

J. Lindsay indicated this program concept was thoroughly examined at VPAC .They looked at the composition of the program very carefully and stated it creates a very rich learning environment. They looked at the composition and the viability of the curriculum guidelines.

The Chair stated that presently Council was looking at approving the curriculum guidelines but indicated to L. Guppy that his concerns seemed to be with the program design rather than the curriculum guidelines themselves. She explained Education Council would be looking at program approval in April and that he would have another opportunity to discuss the program design concerns then. L. Guppy disagreed and indicated he felt his FEC would want him to object to approving the 5000 marketing Curriculum Guidelines. He indicated he was uncomfortable with short cycling an approval process until he has had the opportunity to discuss the matter with his FEC.

The Chair stated that the curriculum committee had reviewed all the Curriculum Guidelines and had recommended that Education Council short cycle approval of all Curriculum Guidelines presented including the Marketing 5000 level Curriculum Guidelines. She explained that procedurally we would follow Roberts Rules and proceed first with the question of short cycling. She explained that if there was an objection to a short cycle process the correct procedure required that Council vote on whether to short cycle or not through a regular motion process. 51% in favor was required to approve holding a short cycle vote on approval and if that vote went forward, 75% in favour of a motion to approve the Curriculum Guidelines would be required to carry the motion and approve the Curriculum Guidelines. She also explained that if we decided not to hold a short cycled vote on approving the 5000 level marketing courses today we could consider approvals of the other recommendations of the Curriculum Committee and come back to the 5000 level courses next month.

5. New Business

5.1 Post Degree Diploma in Marketing Program Proposal

- 7.2 Concept Paper – Continuing Education Home Inspection Program
 - 7.3 Administrative Policy – A04.06.01 – Self Funded Activities
 - 7.4 Thompson Rivers University – Douglas College, Dual Admission
 - 7.5 Concept Paper – Bachelor of Social Work Collaborative Degree with University of the Fraser Valley.
8. ADJOURNMENT

MOVED by J. Lindsay, SECONDED by M. Brulhart, the meeting adjourned at 5:55 pm.

Co-Chair _____ Secretary _____